

EK



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF THE RECORDING
OF A CHANGE(PCT Rule 92bis.1 and
Administrative Instructions, Section 422)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

BECKER, Konrad
Novartis AG
Patent- und Markenabteilung
Lichtstrasse 35
CH-4002 Basel
SUISSE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

08 November 1999 (08.11.99)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PH/5 -30021/A

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/EP98/03279

International filing date (day/month/year)

02 June 1998 (02.06.98)

1. The following indications appeared on record concerning:



the applicant



the inventor



the agent



the common representative

Name and Address

BOUTSALIS, Peter
Lerchenstrasse 79
CH-4059 Basel
Switzerland

State of Nationality

AU

State of Residence

CH

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

Teleprinter No.

2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that the following change has been recorded concerning:



the person



the name



the address



the nationality



the residence

Name and Address

BOUTSALIS, Peter
Känelmattstrasse 37
CH-4422 Arisdorf
Switzerland

State of Nationality

AU

State of Residence

CH

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

Teleprinter No.

3. Further observations, if necessary:

4. A copy of this notification has been sent to:



the receiving Office



the International Searching Authority



the International Preliminary Examining Authority



the designated Offices concerned



the elected Offices concerned



other:

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Authorized officer

F. Gateau

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PH/5 -30021/A	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/EP 98/ 03279	International filing date (day/month/year) 02/06/1998	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 04/06/1997
Applicant NOVARTIS AG et al.		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. ☐ Certain claims were found unsearchable (see Box I).

2. ☐ Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).

3. ☐ The international application contains disclosure of a nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing and the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing

☐

filed with the international application.

☐

furnished by the applicant separately from the international application,

☐

but not accompanied by a statement to the effect that it did not include matter going beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed.

☐

Transcribed by this Authority

4. With regard to the title,

☐

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant

☒

the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

PESTICIDE SCREENING SYSTEM

5. With regard to the abstract,

☒

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant

☐

the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this International Search Report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is:

Figure No. —

☐

as suggested by the applicant.

☐

because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

☐

because this figure better characterizes the invention.

☐

None of the figures.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY


PCT

REC'D 23 MAR 1999

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PH/5 -30021/A		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP98/03279	International filing date (day/month/year) 02/06/1998	Priority date (day/month/year) 04/06/1997	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G01N33/50			
Applicant NOVARTIS AG et al.			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of 5 sheets.</p>			
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the reportII <input type="checkbox"/> PriorityIII <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicabilityIV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of inventionV <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statementVI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents citedVII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international applicationVIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand 17/11/1998		Date of completion of this report 19.03.99	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465		Authorized officer Wieser, M Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399 8434	



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/EP98/03279

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (*substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.*):

Description, pages:

15	as received on	10/03/1999	with letter of	09/03/1999
1-14,16-26	as originally filed			

Claims, No.:

1-21	as received on	10/03/1999	with letter of	09/03/1999
------	----------------	------------	----------------	------------

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
☐ the claims, Nos.:
☐ the drawings, sheets:

3. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-21
	No:	Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-21
	No:	Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-21
	No:	Claims	

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/EP98/03279

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP98/03279

Section V:

Claims 1-21 refer to methods for testing asexually propagated plants within a plant screening program, wherein the propagation step is accomplished without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.

In the light of the disclosure in the documents cited in the International Search Report, novelty and inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) of claims 1-21 is acknowledged.

What we claim is:

1. Test system for testing progeny plants, comprising
 - (a) asexually propagating progeny plant(s) from a mother plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.;
 - (b) incorporating the so obtained progeny plant into a plant screening program; and
 - (c) monitoring the growth of the progeny plant.
2. Test system according to claim 1, wherein the propagation step is accomplished by
 - (a) cutting a short segment from a mother plant such that said segment is capable of directly regenerating into a whole and morphologically normal plant,
 - (b) transferring said excised segment to a suitable anchorage material; and
 - (c) regenerating said transferred segment into a whole and morphologically normal plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.
3. Test system according to claim 2, wherein said segment comprises a region that contains a high amount of actively dividing cells.
4. Test system according to claim 3, wherein said region comprises meristematic cells.
5. Test system according to claims 3 and 4, wherein said segment comprises a short root and shoot fragment.
6. Test system according to claim 2, wherein the anchorage material is
 - (a) an inert material such as vermiculite, perlite or plastic beads;
 - (b) a culture medium commonly applied in plant cultivation; or
 - (c) soil.

Replaced by Article 34

7. Test system according to any of the previous claims wherein said test system is used within a high through-put format.
8. Test system according to claim 1, comprising
 - (a) cutting a short segment from a mother plant such that said segment is capable of directly regenerating into a whole and morphologically normal plant,
 - (b)₁ dipping said segment into a known concentration(s) of a pesticide-containing solution; or, in the alternative
 - (b)₂ spraying said segment with a known concentration(s) of a pesticide-containing solution;
 - (c) transferring the so treated plant explants to a suitable anchorage material;
 - (d) regenerating said explant into a whole and morphologically normal plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.;
 - and
 - (e) monitoring the growth of the progeny plant.
9. Method of rescuing plants showing an interesting trait or property after treatment with a pesticide for further investigation comprising
 - (a) asexually propagating progeny plant(s) from a treated mother plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.;
 - (b) incorporating the so obtained progeny plant into a plant screening program;
 - and
 - (c) monitoring the growth of the progeny plant.
10. Method according to claim 9, wherein the propagation step is accomplished by
 - (a) cutting a short segment from a treated mother plant such that said segment is capable of directly regenerating into a whole and morphologically normal plant,
 - (b) transferring said excised segment to a suitable anchorage material; and
 - (c) regenerating said transferred segment into a whole and morphologically normal plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture..
11. Method according to claim 9 comprising

- (a) cutting a short segment from a treated mother plant such that said segment is capable of directly regenerating into a whole and morphologically normal plant,
 - (b)₁ dipping said segment into a known concentration(s) of a pesticide-containing solution; or, in the alternative
 - (b)₂ spraying said segment with a known concentration(s) of a pesticide-containing solution;
 - (c) transferring the so treated plant explants to a suitable anchorage material;
 - (d) regenerating said explant into a whole and morphologically normal plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.;
 - and
 - (e) monitoring the growth of the progeny plant.
12. Method according to any of the previous claims, wherein the pesticide is selected from the group consisting of a herbicide, an insecticide and a fungicide.
13. Method for determining whether a resistance phenotype observed in a plant is due to a resistance trait or caused by other factors, comprising
- (a) collecting the phenotypically resistant plant
 - (b) asexually propagating progeny plant(s) from said plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.;
 - (c) incorporating the so obtained progeny plant into a plant screening program;
 - and
 - (d) monitoring the growth of the progeny plant.
14. Method according to claim 13, comprising
- (a) collecting the phenotypically resistant plant
 - (b) cutting a short segment from said plant such that said segment is capable of directly regenerating into a whole and morphologically normal plant,
 - (c)₁ dipping said segment into a known concentration(s) of a pesticide-containing solution; or, in the alternative
 - (c)₂ spraying said segment with a known concentration(s) of a pesticide-containing solution;
 - (d) transferring the so treated plant explants to a suitable anchorage material;

(e) regenerating said explant into a whole and morphologically normal plant without passing through a callus phase or involving cell or protoplast culture.; and

(f) monitoring the growth of the progeny plant.

15. Method according to claims 13 and 14, wherein the resistance phenotype is observed after treating the plant with a pesticide.

16. Method according to claim 15, wherein the pesticide is selected from the group consisting of a herbicide, an insecticide and a fungicide.

17. Method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the plant to be tested is a weed plant.

18. Method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the plant to be tested is a crop plant.

19. Method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the plant to be tested is a transgenic plant.

20. Use of a test system according to any one of claims 1-8 for rescuing plants showing an interesting trait or property after treatment with a pesticide for further investigation.

21. Use of a test system according to any one of claims 1-8 for determining whether a resistance phenotype observed in a plant is due to a resistance trait or caused by other factors.

22. Test-kit in a ready to use format comprising all devices necessary to carry out the test system according to any one of claims 1-8.

23. Test kit in a ready to use format according to claim 22 comprising

(a) a cutting device, preferably a knife or sharp scissors to cut the weeds out of the ground, bags to put them in, pots, test tubes containing an anchorage material, preferably agar, soil, sand, vermiculite or a mixture of one or more of said components, etc, to grow them in, pesticide containing solution to spray with, a spraying device and an instruction manual;

(b) a cutting device, preferably a knife or sharp scissors to cut the weeds out of the ground, bags to put them in, pots, test tubes containing an anchorage material, preferably agar, soil, sand, vermiculite or a mixture of one or more of said components, etc, to grow them in, one or more container containing pesticide solution(s) with different concentrations of pesticide for dipping the plant cuttings into a known concentration(s) of pesticide and an instruction manual;

(c) a cutting device, preferably a knife or sharp scissors to cut the weeds out of the ground, bags to put them in, pots, one or more container containing an appropriate anchorage material such as, for example, agar, soil, sand, vermiculite or a mixture of one or more of said components, wherein said anchorage material already contains uniformly distributed therein a pesticide solution with different concentrations of pesticide, and an instruction manual.

24. A test kit according to any of the previous claims, wherein the pesticide is selected from the group consisting of a herbicide, an insecticide and a fungicide.

phenotype can be rescued and further investigated thereby confirming that the individual plant or plants are truly resistant.

Structural genes which are preferably used to confer a particular resistance trait to a plant are those which code for proteins which are able to protect plants against pathogens (for example phytopathogenic fungi, bacteria, viruses, etc.), herbicides (for example triazines, sulfonylureas, imidazolinones, triazolepyrimidines, bialaphos, glyphosates, etc.), fungicides, insecticides or disadvantageous environmental influences (for example heat, cold, wind, unfavorable soil conditions, moisture, dryness, etc.).

Within the scope of this invention, the use of transformed plants comprising structural genes associated with the control of plant pathogens and parasites are particularly preferred.

For example, resistance towards insects can be transferred by a gene which codes for a polypeptide which is toxic for insects and/or their larvae, for example the crystalline protein of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Such genes are known and described, for example, in US-P 4,865,981, US-P 4,996,155, WO 89/07605, EP 213,318 and EP 186,379. A further class of vegetative insecticidal protein encoding DNA sequences is described in WO 94/21795 and WO 96/10083.

The protease inhibitors are a second class of proteins which mediate insect resistance. Protease inhibitors form a normal constituent of plant storage structures and for this reason are usually located in vacuoles or "protein bodies". Thus, it was possible to demonstrate that the Bowman-Birk protease inhibitor, which was isolated and purified from soya beans, inhibits the intestinal protease of *Tenebrio* larvae (Birk et al., 1963). The gene, which codes for a trypsin inhibitor from the common cowpea, is described in Hilder et al. (1987).

Within the scope of the present invention, plants comprising any *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystal protein, vegetative protein, protease inhibitor or any other insecticidal protein-encoding DNA sequences can be used within the testing system according to the invention, irrespective of their provenance (e.g. insecticidal proteins from non-plant sources or from purely synthetic sources).

In this connection, mention must also be made of hydrolytic enzymes which are either able on their own to bring about degradation of the cell walls of plant pathogens or else